



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/302,552	04/30/1999	STEPHEN SAVITZKY	15358-46	7040	
20350	7590 02/24/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			NGUYEN, M	NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2176	/1	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	, //	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PRG		
	Application N	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/302,552	SAVITZKY ET AL.			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in		
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate or the final originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	·				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	r reconsideration has been consi e the attatchment.	idered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:	•				
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		. //	-1		

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

10. Other: ____

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303

•

Application No.

Applicant argues that Khan refers to a single document and not a set of documents. Therefore, cited portion of Khan does not disclose a concatenation of multiple documents. (Remarks, page 6)

In response, the Examiner disagrees. Khan does refer to a set of documents (bind digitalized signatures with documents cryptographically; col.3, lines 48-52). Thus, Khan is used to teach a concatenation of multiple documents.